Thermal analysis of the ITER blanket first wall

A. A. Badawi^a, H. I. Shahbunder^b, M. H. Khalil^b and M. Morsy^b

a Nuclear Eng. Dept., Alexandria University, Alexandria, Egypt

^b Physics Eng. Dept., Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

The 3-D temperature distribution in the first wall of the International Thermonuclear Experimental Reactor (ITER) blanket is studied. The effect of first wall exposure to different fluxes and rates of heat generation on the temperature distribution inside the wall is also examined. The study reveals that the maximum and minimum temperatures increase linearly along the poloidal direction according to the specified incident heat flux and heat generation. It also indicates a linear variation for the coolant temperature along the cooling channels throughout the poloidal direction.

تمت دراسة توزيع درجات الحرارة فى ثلاثة أبعاد فى الجدار الأول لغلاف مفاعل الاندماج الدولى التجريبى (ايتر). كما تمت دراسة تأثير تعرض الجدار الأول لقيم مختلفة من الفيض وتوليد الحرارة، وذلك على توزيع درجات الحرارة بداخل الجدار. أظهرت الدراسة أن النهايات العظمى والصغرى لدرجات الحرارة تزيد خطيا فى الاتجاه العمودى حسب الفيض الحرارى الساقط والحرارة المتولدة. كما أظهرت الدراسة تغير خطى فى درجة حرارة المبرد فى أتجاه مواسير التبريد فى الاتجاه العمودى.

Keywords: Fusion, First wall, ITER, Heat load, Temperature distribution, FEHT

1. Introduction

The function of the ITER blanket system is to provide thermal and nuclear shielding to the vessel and external machine components. The primary First Wall (FW) is the main plasma facing part of the blanket system. It covers a surface of 680 m² around the plasma. Its main functions are [1]:

a- to provide a low-Z, low-impurity plasmacompatible surface;

b- to withstand radiation and charged particle flux from the plasma during normal operation;

c- to provide a suitable protection to the shield from direct contact with the plasma and run-away electrons during off-normal events; and,

d- to provide a first high-dose shield which is removable in hot cell.

2. FW description

The basic concept of the blanket system is a modular configuration with a mechanical attachment system. The module configuration consists of a shield body on which a separable first wall (FW) is mounted [1]. Each blanket module supports four FW panels. Each FW panel consists of a 10-mm thick beryllium armor in the form of tiles attached to a 22-mm thick Cu-alloy heat sink plate internally cooled by SS cooling tubes with 10 and 12 mm inner and outer diameters, respectively. The Cualloy plate is attached to a 49-mm thick steel back-plate that has a structural plus shielding function [1]. Fig. 1 shows a cross section of the first wall.

A simple straight poloidal cooling channel layout is used in the panel, except for the tubes adjacent to the penetrations, which slightly diverge at their ends in order to provide enough space for their seal welding to the SS back-plate, as shown in fig. 1 [1].



Fig.1. FW cross-section [1].

Alexandria Engineering Journal, Vol. 44 (2005), No. 2, 229-235 © Faculty of Engineering Alexandria University, Egypt.

3. FW model

A unit cell consisting of one of the seven units that form the FW panel is taken as a model where the temperature distribution inside can express the temperatures at the rest of the FW. Fig. 2 shows the unit cell representing the FW. The unit cell includes 3 layers; a 10-mm Be layer, a 22-mm CuCrZr layer, and a 49-mm SS layer. Two SS cooling channels in the Cu layer with inner and outer diameters 10 and 12mm, respectively, and single channel in the SS layer with diameter 24mm are included. The cell's cross section is extended in the poloidal direction (perpendicular to the paper for 1095 mm.

The height in the poloidal direction is then divided in the analysis into 11 sections, each with a 100-mm height, except for the 11th section which is 95 mm. The outlet coolant temperature of each section is considered to be the inlet temperature of the following one.

The heat diffusion equation general form in Cartesian coordinates provides the basic tool for the heat conduction analysis. From its solution, we can obtain the temperature distribution T(x, y, z) as a function of time [2]:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left(k \frac{\partial T}{\partial x} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} \left(k \frac{\partial T}{\partial y} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \left(k \frac{\partial T}{\partial z} \right) + \dot{q} = \rho c_p \frac{\partial T}{\partial t} , \qquad (1)$$

Where T is the temperature (K) at position (x, y, z) and time t (s), k is the thermal conductivity (W/m.K), q^{\bullet} is the rate of heat generation (W/m³), ρ and c_p are the material's density (kg/m³) and specific heat (J/kg.K), respectively.

The heat transferred by conduction through the first wall is removed by convection through the coolant channels. This is according to Newton's law of cooling:

$$q = hA(T_{\rm s} - T_{\rm \infty}), \qquad (2)$$

where q is the rate of heat transferred by convection, h is the coefficient of heat transfer (W/m²K), A is the surface area of the pipes, T_s and T_{∞} are the temperatures at the surface of the pipe and the coolant, respectively.

A numerical solution is used for solving this equation rather than analytical solution



Fig. 2. FW panel model (unit cell).

due to the complexity of the problem geometry. The numerical technique used is the finite-element method. The two dimensional thermal analysis software called FEHT is used for this purpose.

4. Model calculations

Table 1 shows the properties of the materials used inside the blanket at 200 °C.

The average total coolant mass flow rate in the module equals 8.0 kg/s [6]. As each blanket module is divided into 4 equal FW panels, each panel is designated 2.0 kg/s.

Table 1 Blanket materials properties at 200 °C [5]

Material	Density kg/m³	Thermal conductivity W∙m⁻¹K⁻¹	Specific heat J·kg ⁻¹ K ⁻¹
Be	1840	130	1988
CuCrZr SS 316L	8900 7900	300 16	896 536

For the FW panel under study, there are 14 SS pipes with inner diameters of 10 mm in the Cu-layer and 7 tubes with diameter ϕ 24 mm drilled inside the SS-layer [1]. The coolant mass flow rate is distributed equally along the narrow and the wide tubes separately according to their cross sectional area. The mass flow rate is equal to 0.037 kg/s in each narrow pipe and 0.212 kg/s in each wide tube [6].

The convection heat transfer coefficient, h, can be obtained for fluids in both brands of tubes as:

$$h = \frac{Nu_D k}{D} . \tag{3}$$

Where k is the thermal conductivity of the fluid and D is the diameter of the pipe. Nu_D is the Nusselt Number, which, for fully developed (hydrodynamically and thermally) turbulent flow in a smooth circular tube is obtained from the Dittus-Boelter eq. (2);

$$Nu_D = 0.023 Re_D^{4/5} Pr^n , (4)$$

where n=0.4 for fluid heating. *Pr* is Prandtl number and *Re*_D is Reynolds number, defined as [2]:

$$Re_D = \frac{4\dot{m}}{\pi D\mu},\tag{5}$$

where μ is the dynamic viscosity (kg /m s).

A summary of the calculated coolant properties is shown in table 2.

Table 2

Summary of coolant properties calculated values [6]

Coolant property	ϕ 10 mm tubes	¢24 mm tubes
Inlet temperature °C	100	100
Rate of mass flow, m • kg/s	0.037	0.212
Reynolds number, Re_D	16,885. 3	40,311. 6
Nusselt number, Nu _D	69.5	139.4
Convection coefficient, h , W m ⁻² K ⁻¹	4,726	3,949.7

The Bremssthralung, synchrotron and line radiation deposit an average power of 0.25 MW/m^2 on the first wall, with local excursions up to 0.5 MW/m^2 in total. The components are dimensioned to cope with the largest value while the cooling circuit is sized for the average power. [3].

The average neutron flux of 1 MW/m², incident on FW surface, induces in the FW material bulk nuclear heating of 16 MW/m³, which decays exponentially inside the module by about one order of magnitude for every 20 cm [3].

Hence, the nuclear heat generation; based on the prior radial dependence, can be formulated mathematically as [6]:

$$\dot{q} = \dot{q}_{\rm max} e^{-11.51y} \,\,\,{\rm MW/m^3},$$
 (6)

where y is the radial direction.

FEHT software was used in the analysis. The toroidal direction is expressed by the xaxis and the radial direction by the y-axis. The FW unit cell shown in fig. 2 is divided into 7 blocks. The model is divided into nodes and element lines manually to form a primary mesh. The mesh is then reduced automatically to the desired density within a specified limit. After reducing the mesh, we have 3898 node, 11196 line segments, and 7296 triangular element equivalent to 3898 unknown temperature at each FW layer.

The model was used to study cases with different heat flux and generation. The inlet temperature in all the cases is constant at 100°C. The heat flux incident on the 3 other surfaces (all except the Be-layer surface) of the FW unit model is assumed to be zero due to symmetry for the right and left sides and for assuming a thermal insulation (instead of a shield block) at the lower side of the FW (bottom of the SS layer).

After calculation of the temperatures in the section, the amount of heat flow rate per poloidal unit length (W/m), q, to each cooling channel is determined. This amount is used to calculate the value of outlet temperature from the section considered, using the following equation:

$$l q = \dot{m} c \left(T_{out} - T_{in} \right) \,. \tag{7}$$

Alexandria Engineering Journal, Vol. 44, No. 2, March 2005

231

where l is the height of the section (m), m^{\bullet} is the coolant mass flow rate (kg/s) and c is its specific heat (J/kg.K). Later, the value of T_{out} is fed to the following section as its coolant inlet temperature, T_{in} . This process is repeated until the *poloidal* FW height (1095 mm) is finished.

An example of the thermal analysis output is shown in fig. 3. This figure represents a case with heat flux of 0.125 MW/m² and a q° of 8 MW/m³, for the first section (from z = 0 to 0.1 m). Each shaded band represents a certain range of temperature which varies according to the shown gray scale value in the figure.

5. Results and discussion

Nine cases of different rates of heat flux and generation were studied. The values of the chosen flux and maximum generation are varied around the average values estimated by the design ref. [1]. The considered cases are shown in table 3.



Fig. 3. Temperature contours in the unit cell for a case with 0.125 MW/m² heat flux and 8 MW/m³ maximum heat generation.

Table 3 Selected cases for study

Case #	Heat flux MW/m ²	Max. heat gen. MW/m ³
1	0.125	0
2	0.125	8
3	0.125	15
4	0.2	15
5	0.25	15
6	0.25	16
7	0.5	0
8	0.5	8
9	0.5	16

Fig. 4 shows the variation of the minimum and maximum temperatures and the coolant temperature in both types of pipes, along the poloidal direction. The results in the figure are for case#2. The change in the coolant temperature in the poloidal direction is found to be linear. This can be explained by eq. (10), which shows that the temperature change in the coolant pipes is linear with the rate of heat transferred to the pipes.

The maximum and minimum temperatures are found to increase along the zdirection. Note that since the temperature of the coolant increases along z, the temperature of the FW must increase in order to remove the same amount of heat from each layer.



Fig. 4. Variation of the minimum and maximum temperature and the coolant temperature along the poloidal direction for case #2.

Alexandria Engineering Journal, Vol. 44, No. 2, March 2005

Fig. 5 shows the rate of heat transferred to the small and large coolant channels along the poloidal direction. Note that the summation of the heat transfer in both types is constant in each layer and is equal to the sum of the incident heat flux and the heat generation.

It can be seen from the figure that in the beginning the heat is divided almost equally between the two types. This is to be expected since the water enters in both types with the same inlet temperature. However as the coolant flows through the channels the temperature in the smaller tubes becomes higher than that in the large tube. Two factors contribute to this: the first is that the small pipes are located closer to the surface of the beryllium, where the heat flux is incident. The second factor is that the mass flow in the small pipes is less than that in the large pipe. This makes the temperature of the small pipes rise more rapidly than the large pipe. The result would be that more heat will go through the large channel as z increases.

The temperature variation along the poloidal direction was found to depend on the heat generation and flux. However the same behavior as in figs. 4 and 5 was seen in all cases. Table 4 shows, for each of the nine cases considered (see Table 3), the maximum and minimum temperatures, which are always located in the top and bottom layers, respectively, and the exit coolant temperature in both types of pipes.





Table 4 shows that the minimum temperature is affected by the heat generation and not by the heat flux. This is better illustrated in figs. 6 and 7.

In fig. 6 the maximum and minimum temperatures are plotted versus the heat flux incident on the beryllium surface for a constant maximum heat generation of 15 MW/m³. The figure clearly shows that the change in the minimum temperature is negligible. Changing the heat flux by 400% changes the temperature by about 6%.



Fig. 6. Effect of the heat flux on the minimum and maximum temperatures inside the FW for a constant maximum heat generation.



Fig. 7. Effect of the heat generation on the minimum and maximum temperatures inside the FW for a constant heat flux.

Alexandria Engineering Journal, Vol. 44, No. 2, March 2005

Case #	Min. temp. °C	Max. temp. °C	Exit coolant temp. °C (small pipes)	Exit coolant temp. °C (large pipes)
1	100.4	137.0	117.3	101.3
2	125.7	230.0	151.8	110.5
3	145.2	299.2	182.0	118.5
4	147.6	329.8	192.3	119.3
5	148.5	350.1	199.3	119.8
6	151.5	359.3	203.6	121.0
7	101.7	304.0	169.2	105.3
8	127.7	383.0	203.7	114.4
9	153.7	462.0	238.1	123.6

Table 4 Different temperatures calculated in each case

The maximum temperature however is seen to be dependent on the heat flux. hanging the heat flux from $0.125 \text{ MW}/\text{m}^2$ to 0.2 MW/m^2 (60% increase) increases the maximum temperature from 299.2°C to 329.8°C (10%). Increasing the heat flux from 0.25 MW/m^2 to 0.5 MW/m^2 (100% increase) increases the maximum temperature from 350.1°C to 462.0°C (32%). The fact that T_{max} depends on the heat flux whereas T_{min} doesn't can be explained by noting the place of each temperature. T_{max} is always located on the beryllium surface facing the incident heat flux. T_{min} is found in the back of the first wall. Thus most of the incident heat flux will have been removed by the coolant before reaching that position.

Fig. 7 shows the effect of the heat generation (represented by the maximum rate of heat generation, q°) for a constant heat flux of 0.5 MW/m². Changing q° from 8 MW/m³ to 16 MW/m³ (100% increase) changes T_{min} from 127.7 °C to 153.7 °C (20% increase), and changes T_{max} from 383.0 °C to 462.0 °C (20% increase).

Fig. 8 shows the effect of the heat flux on the coolant exit temperatures of both the small and large pipes. The heat flux is seen to have a negligible effect on the exit temperature of the coolant in the large channel. Again this is because of its location at the back of the FW, far from the surface exposed to the heat flux. The exit temperature of the coolant in the small pipes, however, is seen to depend on the







Fig. 9. Effect of the heat generation on coolant exit temperature for a constant heat flux.

Alexandria Engineering Journal, Vol. 44, No. 2, March 2005

heat flux. Changing the flux from 0.25 to 0.5 (100% increase) changes the temperature from 199.3 °C to 238.1 °C (20% increase). This dependence is due to the fact that the small pipes remove a large fraction of the incident heat flux.

Fig. 9 shows the effect of the heat generation on the exit temperatures of the coolant in both the small and large pipes. Here the heat generation affects the coolant temperature in both types. Changing q^{\bullet} from 8 MW/m³ to 16 MW/m³ (100% increase) changes the temperature of the small pipes from 203.3 °C to 238.1°C (17% increase), and of the large pipe from 114.4 °C to 123.6 °C (7% increase). This dependence is because both pipes remove the heat generation.

However the change in the temperature of the large pipe is less than that in the small pipe. This is due to its larger mass flow rate and also because there is less heat generation around the large pipe.

6. Conclusions

The temperature distribution in the first wall of the ITER blanket was studied. The effect of FW exposure to different thermal fluxes and rates of heat generation on the temperature distribution inside the wall was examined.

A model was developed to describe the thermal behavior inside a unit cell representing the first wall. The model was used to determine the temperature distribution inside the FW and in the coolant channels. The following conclusions were made:

1. The change in the coolant temperature in the poloidal direction is found to be linear.

2. The minimum temperature is always found in the bottom of the first wall, at the back of the stainless steel. The maximum temperature is always found at the top of the first wall, on the beryllium surface facing the incident heat flux.
The maximum temperature is found to depend on both the heat flux and the rate of heat generation.

5. The minimum temperature is found to depend only on the rate of heat generation.

6. The coolant exit temperature in the small pipes depends on both the heat flux and the rate of heat generation.

7. The coolant exit temperature in the large channel depends on the rate of heat generation and not on the heat flux.

References

- [1] "Technical Basis for the ITER Final Design Report (FDR), Plant Description Document", IAEA / ITER EDA DOCUMENTATION SERIES (2001).
- [2] P. Frank Incropera, P. David DeWitt Introduction to Heat Transfer 4th Edition, John Wiley & Sons Inc. (2002).
- [3] F. Elio et al. "Engineering Design of the ITER Blanket and Relevant R&D Results", Invited Paper to the 20th SOFT, Marseille, 7-11 September (1998).
- [4] J.P. Holman, Heat Transfer, 5th Edition, McGraw-Hill Inc. (1981).
- [5] Private communication with Dr. Filippo Elio, ITER JCT Garching, Max Plack Institute fuer Plasmaphysic, Germany (2003).
- [6] H.I. Shahbunder, A Study of ITER Fusion Blanket Using Neural Networks M.S. Thesis, Department of Physics, Ain Shams University, Spring (2004).

Received October 11, 2004 Accepted January 30, 2005