# New types of separation axioms for frames

A. A. Abo Khadra<sup>a</sup> and A. I. El-Maghrabi<sup>b</sup>

a Mathematics and Physics Dept., Faculty of Eng., Tanta University, Tanta, Egypt

Frames is an important generalization to topological spaces. Several authors have tried to generalize many topological concepts by using frames. The aim of this paper is to generalized some topological concepts via frames. We introduced the concept of  $\gamma$ -open nucleus on frames. Also, we studied its relations with other nearly open nuclei on frames. We defined some separation axioms on frames such as  $\gamma - T_i$  (resp.  $cl - T_i$ ,  $\alpha - T_i$ , i=1,2). Moreover, we introduce some weaker forms of compactness on frames. Also, we studied the relations between them and other forms of compactness on frames. Also, we studied the relations between them and other forms of compactness on frames. In lieux of large la

Keywords: Frames, Near open nucleui, Compactness on frames

#### 1. Introduction

All unexplained facts concerning frames can be found in P. T. Johnstone [1]. Recall that a frame is a complete lattice L in which the infinite distributive law is fulfilled, that is,  $x \wedge \vee x_i = \vee (x \wedge x_i)$ , for every  $x \in L$  and every  $i \in I$ 

subset  $\{x_i\}_{i\in I}$  of L. The set of all open sets of a topological space forms a frame. Frames can be viewed as generalized topological spaces. These frames and frames isomorphic with them are called spatial or topologies. We shall call a map from one frame to another frame homomorphism if it preserves arbitrary joins and finite meets [2].

In [2] a nucleus on a frame L is defined as a map  $j:L \longrightarrow L$  satisfying:

(i)  $a \leq j(a)$ ,

(ii) j(a) = j(j(a)), and

(iii)  $j(a \land b) = j(a) \land j(b)$ , for all  $a, b \in L$ . Let a be an element of a frame L. Then the maps  $c_a, u_a : L \longrightarrow L$ ;  $c_a(x) = a \lor x, u_a(x) = a \Rightarrow x$  (we put  $x^* = x \Rightarrow 0$ ) are nuclei which for

topologies correspond to a closed and open subspace, respectively. Therefore, nuclei of this form are said to be closed and open, respectively. A nucleus which is both open and closed is said to be clopen. We shall denote by N(L) the lattice of all nuclei, by O(L) the lattice of open nuclei, by C(L) the lattice of closed nuclei and by CO(L)the lattice of clopen nuclei on a frame L. We shall define by  $\Delta, \nabla$  the bottom and the top elements of N(L). For  $j \in N(L)$ , we define the interior (resp. the closure) of j [3] and denote  $j^{\circ}, j^{-}$ , respectively.

$$j^{\circ} = \wedge \{ k : k \in O(L), j \le k \}$$
(resp.  $j^{-} = \vee \{ k : k \in C(L), k \le j \}$ ).

Let L be a frame,  $j \in N(L)$ . Then, j is said to be [2]:

(i) semi-open, if there exists an open nucleus U such that  $\overline{u} \le j \le u$ ,

(ii)  $\alpha$ -open, if  $j^{\circ-\circ} \leq j$ ,

(iii) preopen, if  $j^{-\circ} \leq j$ ,

(iv) semi-preopen (or  $\beta$ -open) if  $j^{-\circ -} \leq j$ .

b Mathematics Dept., Faculty of Education, Tanta University, Kafr El-Sheikh, Egypt

The class of all semi-open,  $\alpha$ -open, preopen and semi-preopen nuclei will be denoted by SO(L),  $\alpha$ O(L), PO(L) and SPO(L) respectively. Their complements are semi-closed,  $\alpha$ -closed, preclosed and semi-preclosed nuclei. The classes of these nuclei will be denoted by SC(L),  $\alpha$ C(L), PC(L) and SPC(L), respectively.

Let L be a frame and  $j \in N$  (L). Then, j is

said to be:

(i) A dense nucleus if  $j^- = \Delta$  [3].

(ii)A regular open (resp. a regular closed) nucleus [1], if  $j^{-\circ} = j$  (resp.  $j^{\circ-} = j$ ). The class of all regular open, regular closed nuclei of L will be denoted by RO(L), RC(L), respectively.

A frame L is said to be extremely disconnected [3], if the closure of every open

nuclei on L is open.

Lemma 1.1 [4]:

Let L be a frame and  $j, k \in N(L)$ . Then:

- (i) If  $j \le k$ , and  $k \in O(L)$  then  $j \le j^{\circ} \le k$ .
- (ii) If  $k \le j$  and  $k \in C(L)$ , then  $k \le j^- \le j$ .
- (iii) If  $j \le k$ , then  $j^{\circ} \le k^{\circ}$  and  $j^{-} \le \overline{k}$ ..
- (iv) j is an open nucleus iff  $j = j^{\circ}$ .
- (v)  $(j \vee k)^{\circ} = j^{\circ} \vee k^{\circ}; (j \wedge k)^{\circ} \leq j^{\circ} \wedge k^{\circ}.$
- (vi) j is a closed nucleus iff  $j = j^-$ .
- (vii)  $(j \wedge k)^- = j^- \wedge k^-; j^- \vee k^- \leq (j \vee k)^-$ .

Lemma 1.2 [2]:

Let L be an extremely disconnected frame and  $u \in O(L)$ ,  $j \in N(L)$ . Then,  $u \lor j = u \lor j^-$ .

## Lemma 1.3 [2,4]:

- (i) A nucleus j is semi-open iff  $j^{\circ -} \le j$ .
- (ii) A nucleus j is semi-open iff  $j^{\circ} = j^{-}$ .
- (iii) Every open nucleus is semi-open [4].
- (iv) Every open nucleus is preopen [4].

Lemma 1.4 [4]:

- (i) An arbitrary meet of semi-open nuclei is semi-open.
- (ii) An arbitrary meet of preopen nuclei is preopen.

(iii) An arbitrary meet of  $\alpha$  – open nuclei is an  $\alpha$  – open nucleus and the finite join of  $\alpha$  – open nuclei is  $\alpha$  – open.

In [5], if x is an element of a frame L, then the element  $\bigvee \{y \in L: y \land x = 0\}$  is called the pseudocomplement of x and is denoted by

 $X^*$ . A frame L is said to beT<sub>1</sub> (resp. T<sub>2</sub>) [5], if for every parallel pair f, g of frame maps with domain L, the condition  $f(x) \le g(x)$  for every  $x \in L$  (resp.  $f(x) \land g(y) = 0$  whenever  $x \land y = 0$  in L) implies that f = g. A frame L is said to be regular (resp. normal) [5], if for every  $x \in L, x = \bigvee\{u \in L: u^* \lor x = 1\}$  (resp. for every  $x, y \in L$ , satisfying  $x \lor y = 1$ , there exists  $u \in L$  such that  $x \lor u = y \lor u^* = 1$ .

Let L be a frame;  $a, b \in L$ . Then, a covers b (b is covered by a)[5], in notation, a > b ((b < a)), if a > b and for no  $x \in L$ , a > x > b.

Theorem 1.1 [4]:

(i) Under a homomorphism, the image of a frame is also a frame.

(ii) Let L and f(L) be two frames,  $1_L$  be a supremum of L and  $1_{f(L)}$  be a supremum of f(L). Then  $f(1_L) = 1_{f(L)}$ .

A frame L is called compact [5] (resp. semi-compact, strongly compact,  $\alpha$ -compact [4]), frame, if for every family  $\{U_i:i\in I\}$  of open (resp. semi-open, preopen,  $\alpha$ -open nuclei of L for which  $\bigvee_{i\in I}U_{i_1}$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $U_{i_n}$ ) a finite subfamily of ;L for which  $\bigvee_{i\in I}U_{i_k}=\nabla$ .

# 2. $\gamma$ -open and $\gamma$ -interior of nuclei

In this section we introduce and study the notions of  $\gamma$  -open and  $\gamma$ -interior of a nucleus on a frame L.

Definition 2.1:

Let L be a frame and  $j \in N(L)$ . Then j is said to be  $\gamma$  -open if  $j^{\circ -} \wedge j^{-\circ} \leq j$ . The

complement of  $\gamma$  -open is  $\gamma$  -closed and we denote the set of all  $\gamma$  -open,  $\gamma$  -closed nuclei on a frame L by  $\gamma O(L), \gamma C(L),$  respectively.

Example 2.1:

The chain  $0 \prec a \prec b \prec c \prec d \prec e \prec \cdots \prec 1$  forms frame, then  $j_a, j_1$  are  $\gamma$  -open, but  $j_a, j_b$  are not  $\gamma$  -open nuclei on a frame L.

Proposition 2.1:

The meet of an arbitrary number of  $\gamma$  - open nuclei is  $\gamma$  -open.

Proof:

Let  $j_i$  be  $\gamma$  -open nuclei of a frame L. Then  $j_i^{\circ-} \wedge j_i^{\circ-} \leq j_i$  implies that  $\bigwedge_{i \in I} (j_i^{\circ-} \wedge j_i^{\circ-}) \leq \bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i$ , then  $(\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-} \wedge (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j_i^{\circ-})) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in I} j$ 

Lemma 2.1:

(i)Let L be a frame and ja  $\gamma$  -open and u be an open nucleus of L. Then,  $j \vee u$  is  $\gamma$  -open.

(ii)If L is a frame and j is an  $\gamma$  -open and  $\alpha$ -closed nucleus of L, then j is a regular closed nucleus of L.

(iii) In a frame L, if j is a  $\gamma$ -open, semiclosed and preclosed nucleus of L, then  $j = j^{\circ -} \wedge j^{-\circ}$ .

Proof :

(i) Since j is  $\gamma$  -open,  $j^{\circ -} \wedge j^{-\circ} \leq j$  holds and U is an open nucleus,  $u^{\circ} = u$ . Thus  $(j^{\circ -} \wedge j^{-\circ}) \vee u^{\circ} \leq j \vee u$  implies that  $(j^{\circ -} \vee u^{\circ}) \wedge (j^{-\circ} \vee u^{\circ}) \leq j \vee u$ , then  $(j \vee u)^{\circ -} \wedge (j \vee u)^{-\circ} \leq j \vee u$  (by Lemma 1.1, 1.3). Therefore  $j \vee u$  is  $\gamma$  -open.

- (ii) Follows directly by definitions and Lemma 1.1.
- (iii) Obvious.

Corollary 2.1:

If L is a frame and j is a  $\gamma$  -closed and  $\alpha$ -open nucleus of L, then j is regular open.

Lemma 2.2:

- (i) If L is a frame,  $j \in \gamma$  and j is a closed nucleus, then  $j \in SO(L)$ .
- (ii) If L is a frame, k is a  $\gamma$  -closed and an open nucleus of L, then k is semi-closed. (iii) In a frame L, if k is a  $\gamma$  -closed and c is a closed nucleus of L, then  $c \wedge k$  is  $\gamma$  -closed.

Proof:

- (i) Since, j is  $\gamma$  -open,  $j^{\circ -} \wedge j^{-\circ} \leq j$  holds. But j is a closed nucleus, hence  $j^- = j$ , therefore  $j^{\circ -} \wedge j^{\circ} \leq j$ . Thus  $j^{\circ -} \leq j$ . Therefore, j is semi-open.
- (ii) Let k be a  $\gamma$  -closed nucleus of L. Then  $k \le k^{-\circ} \lor k^{\circ-}$ , but k is open, that is  $k = k^{\circ}$ , hence  $k \le k^{-\circ} \lor k^{-}$ . Thus  $k \le k^{-\circ}$ . Hence k is semi-closed.
- (iii) Let j be a  $\gamma$  -closed nucleus of L. Then  $k \le k^{-\circ} \lor k^{\circ-}$  but c is closed, hence  $c = \overline{c}$ , thus  $c \land k \le \overline{c} \land (k^{-\circ} \lor k^{\circ-})$  implies that  $c \land k \le (\overline{c} \land k^{-\circ}) \lor (\overline{c} \land k^{\circ-})$ . Then (by Lemma 1.1),  $c \land k \le (c^{-\circ} \land k^{-\circ}) \lor (c^{\circ-} \land k^{\circ-})$ . Therefore  $c \land k \le (c \land k)^{-\circ} \lor (c \land k)^{\circ-}$ . Hence  $c \land k$  is  $\gamma$ -

Lemma 2.3:

closed.

Let L be a frame,  $k \in \gamma O(L)$ . Then:

- (i) k is a preopen nucleus of L, if  $k^c$  is a dense nucleus of L (where,  $k^c$  is the complement of k).
- (ii) k is a preopen nucleus of L, if L is an extremely disconnected frame

Proof:

(i) Let k be a  $\gamma$ -open nucleus of L. Then  $k^{-\circ} \wedge k^{\circ -} \leq k$ , but  $k^{\circ}$  is dense, then  $k^{\circ -} = \Delta$  implies that  $k^{\circ} = \nabla$ , hence  $k^{\circ -} = \nabla$ . Therefore  $k^{-\circ} \leq k$ , thus k is preopen.

(ii) Since k is a  $\gamma$ -open nucleus of L;  $k^{-\circ} \wedge k^{\circ -} \leq k$ , but L is extremely disconnected,  $k^{\circ}$  is open, hence  $k^{\circ -}$  is open, thus  $k^{-\circ} \wedge k \leq k$ , then  $k^{-\circ} \leq k$ . Therefore k is a preopen nucleus of L.

We introduce the notions of the interior (resp. the closure) of  $\gamma$  -open nucleus. The sets of these nuclei will be denoted by  $\gamma(j^{\circ})$  (resp.  $\gamma(j^{-})$ ).

Definition 2.2:

Let L be a frame,  $j \in N(L)$ . Then the  $\gamma$  -interior and  $\gamma$  -closure is given by:

$$\gamma(j^{\circ}) = \bigwedge \{u: u \in \gamma O(L), j \leq u\},\$$

$$\gamma(j^-) = \bigvee \{c : c \in \gamma C(L), c \le j\}.$$

From the above definition, we have the following:

### Proposition 2.2:

- (i)  $\gamma(j^{\circ})$  (resp.  $\gamma(j^{-})$ ) is  $\gamma$  -open (resp.  $\gamma$  -closed).
- (ii)  $\gamma(j^{\circ})$  (resp.  $\gamma(j^{-})$ ) is the smallest (resp. largest)  $\gamma$  -open (resp.  $\gamma$  -closed) nucleus which contains (resp. contained in ) j.
- (iii) A nucleus j is  $\gamma$ -open iff  $j = \gamma(j^{\circ})$ .
- (iv) A nucleus j is  $\gamma$ -closed iff  $j = \gamma(j^-)$ .

Example 2.2:

Let  $j_o$  and  $j_1$  be given as in Example 2.1. Then  $j_o$ ,  $j_1$  are  $\gamma(j^\circ)$  (resp.  $\gamma(j^-)$ ), but  $j_a$ ,  $j_b$  are not  $\gamma(j^\circ)$  (resp.  $\gamma(j^-)$ ).

## 3. Separation axioms via $\gamma$ -open nuclei

In [5],  $S-T_i$ ,  $P-T_i$  frames were defined. In the following we introduce  $\gamma-T_i$  (resp.  $\alpha-T_i$ , clopen- $T_i$ ) frames, where i=1,2. Proposition 3.1 [5]:

(i) A regular frame is  $T_2$ , (ii) a  $T_2$ -frame is  $T_1$ .

Example 3.1:

A frame L, with  $L = \{0,a,b,1\}$ , is regular and is therefore a  $T_2$ -frame, hence it also is  $T_1$ .



Definition 3.1:

A frame L is called  $\gamma - T1$  (resp.  $\alpha - T_1$ ), clopen – T1) if for every two  $\gamma$ -open (resp.  $\alpha$ -open, clopen) nuclei  $j_1$ ,  $j_2$  for which  $(x) \le j_2$  (x) holds for all  $x \in L$ , then  $U_1 = y_2$  we have  $j_1 = j_2$ .

Definition 3.2:

A frame L is said to be  $\gamma - T_2$  (resp.  $\alpha - T_2$ , clopen  $-T_2$ ) if, for every two  $\gamma$ -open (resp.  $\alpha$ -open, clopen) nuclei  $j_1, j_2$  for which  $j_1(x) \wedge j_2(y) = 0$  holds whenever  $x \wedge y = 0$  in L we have  $j_1 = j_2$ .

Theorem 3.1:

- (i) Every  $\alpha T_i$  frames is  $T_i$ , i = 1,2.
- (ii) Every subframe of an  $\alpha T_i$  frame is  $\alpha T_i$ ,  $u_1(x) \le u_2(x)$ .
- (iii) Every  $\gamma T_i$  frame is  $T_i$ , i = 1,2.
- (iv) Every  $\gamma T_i$  frame is  $j_1(x) \le j_2(x)$ , i = 1,2.

Proof:

We focus on the proff of  $T_1$  frame and  $T_2$  will be in a similar way.

- (i) We prove the theorem only for  $T_1$ . Let  $j_1, j_2$  be open nuclei for which  $u_1, u_2$  holds for all  $x \in L$ . Then  $j_1, j_2$  are  $\alpha$ -open nuclei for which  $j_1(x) \leq j_2(x)$  holds for all  $x \in L$ . Since L is an  $\alpha T_1$  frame, then  $j_1 = j_2$ . Hence L is a  $T_1$ -frame.
- (ii) We shall prove the theorem for  $\alpha T_1$ . Let L' be a subframe of  $\alpha T_1$  and  $j_1, j_2$  are  $\alpha$ -open nuclei of L' for which  $j_1(x) \le j_2(x)$

holds for all  $x \in L'$ . Then  $j_1 = L' \vee u_1$ ,  $j_2 = L' \vee u_2$  where  $u_1, u_2$  are  $\alpha$ -open nuclei of L. Since  $j_1(x) \leq j_2(x)$ , for  $x \in L'$  we have  $u_1(x) \leq u_2(x)$  holds for all  $x \in L$ . Since L is an  $\alpha - T_1$  frame, hence  $u_1 = u_2$ . Thus  $j_1 = j_2$ . Therefore L' is an  $\alpha - T_1$  frame.

(iii) Similar to (i) and (ii), respectively.

(iv) Similar to (i) and (ii), respectively.

Corollary 3.1:

(i) Every  $\gamma - T_i$  frame is  $P - T_i$ , i = 1,2.

(ii) Every  $\gamma - T_i$  frame is  $\alpha - T_i$ , i = 1,2.

Proof:

(i) Obvious from theorems 3.1, (iii), (iv).

(ii) Obvious by theorems 3.1, (i), (iii).

Theorem 3.2:

(i) Every subframe of a  $\gamma - T_i$  frame is  $\gamma - T_i$ , i = 1.2.

(ii) Every  $S-T_i$  frame is  $\alpha-T_i$ , i=1,2.

Proof:

(i) We prove the theorem only for  $\gamma-T_2$ . Let L' be a subframe of  $\gamma-T_2$  and  $j_1,j_2$  are two  $\gamma$ -open nuclei of L' for which  $j_1(x) \wedge j_2(y) = 0$  holds whenever  $x \wedge y = 0$  in L'. Then  $j_1 = L' \vee u_1$ ,  $j_2 = L' \vee u_2$  where  $u_1, u_2$  are  $\gamma$ -open nuclei of L. Since  $j_1(x) \wedge j_2(y) = 0$ , holds for all  $x,y \in L'$  we have  $u_1(x) \wedge u_2(y) = 0$  holds whenever  $x \wedge y = 0$  in L. Since L is  $\gamma - T_2$ , then  $u_1 = u_2$ , . Thus  $j_1 = j_2$ . Therefore, L' is a  $\gamma - T_2$  frame.

(ii) It is similar as (i)

Theorem 3.3:

Every  $T_i$ -frame is clopen -  $T_i$ , i = 1,2.

Proof:

We shall prove the theorem only for clopen-T<sub>1</sub>. Let  $j_1, j_2$  be clopen nuclei for which  $j_1(x) \le j_2(x)$  holds for all  $x \in L$ . Then  $j_1, j_2$  are open nuclei for which  $j_1(x) \le j_2(x)$  holds for all  $x \in L$ . Since L is  $T_1$ , then  $j_1 = j_2$ . Thus L is a clopen -  $T_1$  frame.

Corollary 3.2:

(i) Every subframe of a clopen- $T_i$  frame is clopen -  $T_i$ , I = 1, 2.

(ii) Every  $S - T_i$  frame is clopen  $-T_i$ , i = 1,2.

(iii) Every  $P - T_i$  frame is clopen  $T_i$ , i = 1,2.

(iv) Every  $\alpha - T_i$  frame is clopen- $T_i$ , i = 1,2.

Proof:

(i)Obvious from theorems 3.1 (ii) and 3.2 (i).

(ii), (iii) Obvious from theorem 3.3.

(iv) Obvious from theorem 3.3 (i).

### 4. Some weaker forms of compactness

In this section there are considered some notions of compactness of a frame defined by means of  $\gamma$  -open (resp.  $\alpha$ -open; clopen) nuclei of this frame.

Definition 4.1:

A frame L is called a  $\gamma$  -compact (resp.  $\alpha$ -compact; clopen compact) frames, if for any family  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  of  $\gamma$  -open (resp.  $\alpha$ -open; clopen) nuclei of L for which  $\bigvee_{i \in I} U_i = 1$ , there exists a finite subfamily  $\{U_{i_1}, \cdots, U_{i_n}\}$  of L for which  $\bigvee_{k=1}^n U_{i_k} = 1$ .

Lemma 4.1:

(i) if L is an  $\alpha$ -compact frame, then L is compact.

(ii) Let L be a semi-compact frame. Then L is compact.

(iii) If L is a semi-compact frame, then L is  $\alpha$ -compact.

Proof:

Obvious.

Theorem 4.1:

If L is strongly compact frame, then L is a compact.

Proof:

Let  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  be any family of  $\alpha$ -open nuclei of L for which  $\bigvee_{i \in I} U_i = 1$ . Then and L is a strongly compact frame, then there exists a finite subfamily  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$   $\{U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_n}\}$  of I such that  $\bigvee_{k=1}^n U_{i_k} = 1$ . Hence L is an  $\alpha$ -compact frame.

Corollary 4.1:

A strongly compact frame L is compact.

Proof:

Ovbious by lemma 4.1 and theorem 4.1. The converse of theorem 4.1 is not true in general as shown by the following example.

Example 4.1:

Let X be an infinite set and  $L = \tau(X) = \{\Delta, u : u^c \text{ is finite }\}$  a topology on X. Then L is a compact frame. If for any family  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  of open nuclei of L for which  $\forall U_i = 1$ , then  $U_i^c$  is finite and contained iel

in  $\bigvee_{k=1}^{n} U_{i_k}^c = 1$ . Thus L is not a strongly compact frame.

Lemma 4.2:

If L is an  $\gamma$ -compact frame, then L is strongly compact.

Proof:

Let  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  be any family of preopen nuclei of L for which  $\bigvee_{i \in I} U_i = 1$ . Since L is  $\gamma$ -compact, then there exists a finite subfamily  $\{U_{i_1}, \dots, U_{i_n}\}$  of L for which  $\bigvee_{k=1}^n U_{i_k} = 1$ . Hence, L is a strongly compact frame.

Corollary 4.2:

(i) Let L be γ-compact frame. Then L is semi-compact.

(ii) If L is γ-compact frame. then L is compact.

Proof:

(i) Obvious from lemma 4.2.

(ii) Obvious from lemma 4.1, lemma 4.1. (ii)

Lemma 4.3:

Every compact frame, is clopen compact.

Proof.

Let  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  be any family of clopen nuclei of L for which  $\bigvee_{i \in I} U_i = 1$ . And L is compact. Then there exiss a finite subfamily  $\{U_{i_1}, \cdots, U_{i_n}\}$  of L for which  $\bigvee_{k=1}^n U_{i_k} = 1$ . Thus L is a clopen compact frame.

Theorem 4.2:

Let L be a frame:

(i) If L is semi-compact, then L is a clopen compact frame.

(ii) If L is strongly compact, then L is clopen compact.

(iii) If L is  $\alpha$ -compact, then L is a clopen compact frame.

Proof:

We prove the theorem only for (iii). Let  $\{U_i:i\in I\}$  be any family of clopen nuclei of L for which  $\bigvee_{i\in I}U_i=1$ . Since L is  $\alpha$ -compact, then there exists a finite subfamily  $\{U_{i_1},\cdots,U_{i_n}\}$  of L for which  $\bigvee_{k=1}^n U_{i_k}=1$ . Hence L is clopen compact.

Theorem 4.3:

Each extremely disconnected strongly compact frame is  $\gamma$ -compact.

Proof:

Let  $\{U_i: i \in I\}$  be any family of  $\gamma$ -open nuclei of L for which  $\bigvee_{i \in I} U_i = 1$ . Then  $\{U_i: i \in I\}$ 

is a family of [reopen nucli of L for which  $\vee U_i$  = 1. Since L is strongly compact, then  $i \in I$ 

there exists a finite subfamily  $\{U_{i_1}, \cdots, U_{i_n}\}$  of L

for which  $\bigvee_{k=1}^n U_{i_k} = 1$ . Hence L is  $\gamma$  - compact frame.

#### Theorem 4.5:

Every closed nucleus of a semi-compact frame is γ-compact.

#### Proof:

Let  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  be any family of  $\gamma$ -open and closed nuclei of L for which  $\bigvee_{i \in I} U_i = 1$ . Then  $\{U_i : i \in I\}$  be any family of semi-open nuclei of L for which  $\bigvee_{i \in I} U_i = 1$ . Since L is semi-compact, then there exists a finite subfamily  $\{U_{i_1}, \cdots, U_{i_n}\}$  of L for which  $\bigvee_{k=1}^n U_{i_k} = 1$ . Thus, L is  $\gamma$ -compact frame.

#### References

- [1] P.T. Johnstone, Stone Spaces, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge (1982).
- [2] J. Paseka, T<sub>2</sub>-Separation Axioms on Frames, Acta Universitatis Carolinae Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 28 (2), pp. 95-98 (1987).
- [3] A.S. Mashhour, I.A. Hasanein and S.N. El-Deeb, α-Continuous and α-Open Mappings, Acta. Math. Sci. Hungar, Vol. 41 (3-4), pp. 213-218 (1983).
- [4] M. E. Abd El-Monsef, A. A. Abo Khadra, and A.I. El-Magharbi, Applications on Near Open Nuclei of Frames. J. Egypt. Math. Soc. Vol. 7 (1), pp. 17-26 (1999).
- [5] M.E. Abd El-Monsef, S.N. El-Deeb AND R.A. Mahmoud, β-Open Sets and β-Continuous Mappings, Bull. Fac. Sci. Assiut Univ., Vol. 12 (11), pp. 77-99 (1983).

Received January 7, 2002 Accepted July 29, 2002

#### enuis enalmi

- - Precipen 4.2
    Every placed mucleus of a semi-compact
    transits w-compact
  - Lat (bigget) be any family of y open and closed mudel of b for which w U = 1. Then
  - [Upin 1] be authority of some open model of
  - recommends then there exists a finite autiful
  - $\{U_{n_1},\dots,U_{n_k}\}$  of L. for which  $w\in U_{n_k}=1$  . Thus,
    - ermed tonomer

- [4] F.T. Johnstone, Stone Squoes, Cantunder Univ. Pres. Cambridge 1982).
- [2] J. Pascle, T. Separation Anomal on Frames, Acta Universizatis, Carolinae Mathematics et Physics, Vol. 28 (2), pp. 05-98 (1987)
- 3] A.S. Mashbour, I.A. Hasannin and B.N. El-Deeb, or Continuous and or Open Marpiness Acts Math. Sci. Hungar, Vol.
- 4) M. B. Abd St-Monset, A. A. Abb Shadra and A.L. El-Magharbi, Applications on Near Open Nuclei of Pumbs, J. Payof. Math.
- St M.E. And El-Monset S N. El Deeb AND R.A. Mahmoud, \$1.00pc Sets and \$1.0 Continuous Mappings, Eull. The. Sci. Assist Univ. Vol. 12 (11), ppl. 77.99 (1983).

Received Julyany 7, 2862 Accepted July 29, 2002