

EFFECT OF SOME FACTORS ON PROPERTIES OF OPEN-END YARNS MANUFACTURED FROM WOOL, COTTON & POLYESTER BLENDS

A.A. Salaman* and A.S. El-Geiheni**

* Faculty of Applied Arts, Helwan University.

** Faculty of Engineering, Alexandria University.

Abstract

Different blends ratio of wool, cooton and polyester fibres had been spun through the open-end spinning system under different rotor operating conditions. The variable processing factors consists of rotor speed, opening roller speed and air vacuum. The mixture experimental design for three independent and three interdependent was applied. The effect of blend ratios and spinning parameter on yarn properties had been investigated. The significant response relations which enable the prediction of working conditions for a required yarn specification were determined. The yarn tenacity, thick places and thin places in yarn are affected by the fibre blends while all the other properties are affected by rotor operating conditions.

Nomenclature

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---|
| X_1 = Percentage Wool fiber | X_2 = Percentage Cotton fiber |
| X_3 = Percentage Polyester fiber | X_4 = Rotor speed (r.p.m.) |
| X_5 = Opening roller speed (r.p.m.) | X_6 = Air suction (mm H ₂ O) |

Introduction

Blending different types of fibers has become an important trend in the spinning technology. Most of the published works concern blending of two fibre components, [1-10]. No researches concerning three blend components had been identified. Most of the researches are concerned with the percentage blends, some take into consideration the processing conditions, [11-14]. In this work the mixture experimental design involving process variables [15-17] will be applied. The determination of response relation concerning the factors under consideration and some yarn properties will enable the prediction of the working condition for the required yarn specifications.

Material And Experimental Procedure

The properties of fibres under investigation are given in table I

Table I
Properties Of Different Fibres

| Fibre | Length mm | Fineness | Extension at break | Tenacity g/tex |
|-----------|-----------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Cotton | 35 | 4.7 micronaire | 5.3 | 36.7 |
| Wool | 33 | 21 micronaire | 42 | 11 |
| Polyester | 39.8 | 1.5 Denier | 23 | 54 |

The fibres were obtained on sliver form, blended at the first draw-frame, processed on second and third drawing then on open-end m/c (Rieter Spin Trainer) having a rotor diameter of 55 mm for obtaining a yarn of 33 tex. An experimental design which consist of three mixtures and three process factors was chosen [15] with some modification to coincide with the initial experimental condition. The mixture components (dependent) consist of cotton, wool, polyester while the process variable (independent) consist of rotor speed, opening roller speed, air suction. The levels of process variables are shown in table

2. The experimental Design Applied Is Shown In Table III

Table II

The Level of The Process Variables

| Variable | /Level | -2 | -1 | 0 | 1 | 2 |
|-------------------------|--------|-------|-------|-------|-------|--------|
| Rotor speed rpm | | 30000 | 35000 | 40000 | 45000 | 500000 |
| Opening-oller speed rpm | | 5500 | 6000 | 6500 | 7000 | 7500 |
| Air suction mm H20 | | 350 | 400 | 450 | 500 | 550 |

The yarn strength, elongation, work of rupture, evenness imperfections and Quality index were determined for the obtained yarns under standard atmospheric conditions.

Experimental Results

The results obtained for the experimental combinations are shown in table III. The results were analyzed on a PC computer, for obtaining the equations of the response surfaces, the variance analysis, the correlations and significance between the regression model and the

Nomenclature

| | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| X_1 = Percentage Wool fiber | X_2 = Percentage Cotton fiber |
| X_3 = Percentage Polyester fiber | X_4 = Rotor speed (r.p.m.) |
| X_5 = Opening roller speed (r.p.m.) | X_6 = Air suction (mm H20) |

Introduction

Blending different types of fibers has become an important trend in the spinning technology. Most of the published works concern blending of two fibre components, [1-10]. No researches concerning three blend components had been identified. Most of the researches are concerned with the percentage blends, some take into consideration the processing conditions, [11-14]. In this work the mixture experimental design involving process variables [15-17] will be applied. The determination of response relation concerning the factors under consideration and some yarn properties will enable the prediction of the working condition for the required yarn specifications.

Material And Experimental Procedure

The properties of fibres under investigation are given in table I

Table I
Properties Of Different Fibres

| Fibre | Length mm | Fineness | Extension at break | Tenacity g/tex |
|-----------|-----------|----------------|--------------------|----------------|
| Cotton | 35 | 4.7 micronaire | 5.3 | 36.7 |
| Wool | 33 | 21 micronaire | 42 | 11 |
| Polyester | 39.8 | 1.5 Denier | 23 | 54 |

TABLE III
EXPERIMENTAL CONDITION AND RESULTS FOR YARN PARAMETERS

| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|------|
| X1 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/4 | 1/4 | 1/4 | 1/4 | 1/4 | 1/4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 |
| X2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| X3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 3/8 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 | 1/2 |
| X4 | 1 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | -1 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| X5 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | -2 | -1 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 0 | -1 | 0 |
| X6 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 2 | -1 | -1 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | -1 | 0 | 1 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | -2 | 1 | 0 |
| I | 10.3 | 10.5 | 7.63 | 11.4 | 10.6 | 9.78 | 17.6 | 17.4 | 16.0 | 18.6 | 17.5 | 14.5 | 19.1 | 25.8 | 20.2 | 25.4 | 19.3 | 19.4 | 13.9 | 13.4 | 13.1 | 13.5 | 13.7 |
| II | 8.5 | 9.5 | 9.1 | 10.7 | 8.1 | 9.5 | 14.4 | 12.4 | 11 | 13.2 | 14 | 9.6 | 7.4 | 7 | 7.3 | 7.3 | 7.5 | 5 | 16.3 | 17.5 | 17.3 | 15.4 | 16.3 |
| III | 6.6 | 7 | 7.8 | 8 | 8.2 | 6.8 | 10 | 11.5 | 8 | 11.4 | 10 | 6.1 | 11.6 | 12.8 | 11.8 | 6.3 | 11.3 | 11 | 14.5 | 13.3 | 13.4 | 14.6 | 13.6 |
| IV | 5.2 | 10.3 | 7.1 | 5.8 | 5.4 | 7.4 | 8.3 | 10.5 | 16.1 | 8.3 | 10.5 | 9.6 | 5.6 | 4.6 | 5.3 | 13 | 15.2 | 5.9 | 18.1 | 6.2 | 9.3 | 6.7 | 5.6 |
| V | 14.1 | 15.7 | 15 | 10.7 | 14.3 | 15.9 | 14.1 | 21.1 | 20.2 | 23.5 | 21.7 | 28.1 | 12.7 | 10.9 | 12.6 | 11.3 | 13.3 | 12.7 | 21.9 | 20.8 | 22.5 | 24.7 | 12.1 |
| VI | 80 | 540 | 120 | 20 | 20 | 60 | 20 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 160 | 80 | 100 | 20 | 180 | 80 | 720 | 380 | 680 | 0 | 200 |
| VII | 20 | 340 | 140 | 40 | 40 | 0 | 20 | 60 | 80 | 20 | 20 | 20 | 120 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 260 | 480 | 780 | 20 | 60 |
| VIII | 528 | 2536 | 1968 | 968 | 1560 | 3268 | 8 | 2456 | 4968 | 672 | 192 | 2976 | 408 | 16 | 192 | 24 | 368 | 216 | 9576 | 7824 | 9816 | 616 | 2304 |

X1 = WOOL Ratio X2 = COTTON Ratio X3 = POLYESTER Ratio X4 = Rotor speed X5 = Opening roller speed X6 = Air suction

I = Tenacity g/tex

II = C.V. Strength

III = Percentage Elongation

IV = C.V. Elongation

V = C.V. Evenness

VI = Neps/1000 nt

VII = Thick places/1000 nt

VIII = Thin places/1000 nt

experimental results. Coefficients of significance higher than 95% were only considered, and are compiled for each parameter in table IV.

Discussion

Tenacity

The regression relation obtained in table IV indicates that tenacity is significantly affected by the blend ratio only. The effect of wool fibres is obtained with cotton fibre interaction. The polyester fibres highly affect the yarn strength. The multiple regression coefficient attains a value of 0.999 which indicates a highly significance of regression to the experimental results. This is also indicated by the analysis of variance test.

Strength Coefficient of Variation

The coefficient of variation of strength is mainly influenced by the percentage of the polyester fibres and to a lesser degree by the wool fibres. The maximum effect of wool is at 50% blend. The cotton fibres has no significant effect within the range of experimentation with report to the two other fibres under study. The regression proposed is highly significant as shown in table IV.

The regression equation can be written in the following form:

$$34.1 X_1 (1-X_1) + 14.79 X_3$$

For that the X_1 factor was transformed to $X_1 (1-X_1)$ and the regression obtained is in the form:

TABLE IV

THE REGRESSION EQUATION FOR DIFFERENT YARN PROPERTIES

| Parameter | Significant regression relation | Mat. R | F | T and sig. level for coefficients | | | | | |
|----------------------------|--|--------|--------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| Tenacity g/tex | $12.74 X_2 + 27.65 X_3 + 16.10 X_{12}$ | 0.9986 | 2427.5 †† | 11.8 †† | 36.81 †† | 6.31 †† | | | |
| C.V.I Strength | $35.44 X_1 + 14.79 X_3 - 34.11 X_{11}$ | 0.9961 | 852.2 †† | 8.96 †† | 19.7 †† | -4.2 †† | | | |
| Elongation % | $21.97 X_3 + 16.18 X_{12} + 12.21 X_{11} - 1.26 X_{24}$ | 0.9966 | 703.8 †† | 35.5 †† | 6.8 †† | 5.9 †† | -2.4 0.025 | | |
| C.V.I Elongation | $37.77 X_1 + 20.8 X_{23} - 46.81 X_{11} - 1.05 X_{45} - 1.35 X_{16}$ | 0.9918 | 217.4 †† | 7.7 †† | 12.0 †† | -4.0 † | -2.8 0.013 | -2.4 0.025 | |
| K. of R. g.c./tex | $4328.26 X_3 + 2891.95 X_{22} + 912.64 X_{11}$ | 0.9929 | 465.4 †† | 22.7 †† | 7.1 †† | 2.2 0.037 | | | |
| Regularity | $20 X_1 + 23.5 X_3 + 15.4 X_{12} - 1.8 X_{26} + .75 X_{55} - 1.6 X_{35}$ | 0.9981 | 730.65 †† | 13.1 †† | 20.4 †† | 4.1 †† | -2.6 .031 | 2.5 .011 | -2.8 .012 |
| Quality Index | $418.12 X_3 + 1057.97 X_{22} - 514.57 X_{12} - 73.75 X_{34}$ | 0.9901 | 236.4 †† | 11.4 †† | 9.6 †† | -4.0 † | -2.5 0.021 | | |
| Neps/1000 meter | $1262.25 X_{13}$ | 0.8187 | 44.74 †† | 4.7 †† | | | | | |
| Thick place per 1000 nt | $9130.5 X_1 + 23417.43 X_{13}$ | 0.841 | 25.4 †† | 2.9 † | 2.5 0.021 | | | | |
| Thin places per 1000 nt | $338.84 X_{13} + 23.71 X_{66} - 54.19 X_{16}$ | 0.8353 | 15.4 †† | 3.5 .002 | 2.6 .011 | -2.1 .046 | | | |

†† = Significance level at 0.0005 † = Significance level at 0.001 T = T calculated F = F calculated

$$36.64 X_1 + 14.72 X_3, \text{ With } R = 0.9961 \text{ and } F = 1335.3 \text{ which}$$

represent the relation with a higher accuracy. Also X_1 shows a Quadratic effect.

Percentage Elongation

Results in table IV show that the main effect is due to polyester, then by the Wool. The effect of cotton is interacted with wool. While the percentage elongation decreases with the increase of rotor speed.

Elongation Coefficient of Variation

As shown in table IV, this is the only property in which all the factors are represented in the regression equation, either directly or in an interaction form. The same effect of Wool fibre in case of C.V. strength is detected here and a Quadratic relation of wool fibre is observed. The same transformation applied in C.V. tenacity is applied in this case and the regression is of the form:

$$30.35 X_1 + 22.0 X_{23} - 1.15 X_{45} - 1.41 X_{16}$$

with $R = 0.992$ and $F = 280.6$. From that the % wool has also a Quadratic effect on C.V. elongation.

Work of Rupture

This is represented by half the product of tencity and elongation (which is first approximation of work of rupture). All the fibre percentage are represented in the regression equation. The mostly

significant is the polyester fibre than the cotton fibre, no interaction between fibres are detected in this property, in contrary to both tenacity and percent elongation. In the mean time, the effect of rotor speed become non significant, while it was detected at the elongation percent.

Regularity

All the factors except the rotor speed X_4 are represented in the regression equation. The mixture factors dominate the regularity with report to the process variables. The increase in both opening roller speed and air suction will tend to an increase in yarn regularity, only the opening roller speed will have a reverse influence for polyester fibre lesser than 40 %.

Yarn Quality Index

This consists of a conjunction of regularity, strength and elongation. From table IV, the percentage polyester shows the most significant factor as usual in increasing the yarn Quality index while the wool percentage causes a decrease in this index. The same effect is obtained when increasing the rotor speed.

Yarn Imperfection

The regression equations of yarn imperfections are shown in table IV. For the three properties, the interaction between polyester and wool are always significant, and it affects only the neps, while the thick places is affected to a greater extent by the percentage wool. The thin places is the only imperfection which is influenced by a process

variable; the air suction. Transferring the regression relation to the form: $23.7 X_6 (X_6 - 2.3 X_1)$ we can conclude that a lesser number of thin places will be obtained when the air suction is greater than 450. The correlation matrix of the yarn imperfection and yarn regularity is shown in table V. From which it is easy to detect that a high correlation between yarn imperfection is higher than 0.001 and between regularity and imperfections is at 0.1. For that, the factor X_{13} is the more dominating in the regression relation.

TABLE V
Correlation Matrix For Yarn Regularity and Imperfections

| Parameters: | Eveness | Neps | Thick | Thin |
|---------------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| Evenness | 1.0000 | 0.5853* | 0.6021* | 0.4955* |
| Neps | 0.5853* | 1.0000 | 0.7040** | 0.8119** |
| Thick | 0.6021* | 0.7040** | 1.0000 | 0.7274** |
| Thin | 0.4955* | 0.8119** | 0.7274** | 1.0000 |
| Significance: | | * 0.01 | ** 0.001 | |

Due to that, the thin places/1000 mt. can be the only property used for the determination of the response surface representing the yarn imperfection.

Conclusion

1. The application of mixture experimental designs permit us to simulate the properties of Open-End yarn produced from three

blends of wool, cotton and polyester under variable process conditions with fewer number of experiments.

2. From the regression equation obtained, the polyester fibre percent affects greatly all the yarn properties tested.
3. The yarn tenacity, elongation, work of rupture and yarn imperfection is essentially influenced by the fibre blend percentage.
4. The different coefficient of variation are affected by the process variable and the fibre blends.
5. Both C.V. elongation and the yarn evenness represent most of the factors under study and can be considered the properties highly influenced by factor variations.
6. The factor X_1 is transferred to $X_1 (1-X_1)$ for both C.V. strength and C.V. elongation and is more representative than the X_1 factor.
7. The correlation coefficient between yarn imperfection and regularity are highly significant from that the thin places/1000mt can be used for the determination of the response surface of yarn imperfection.

References

- [1] Balasubramanian N. and Nerurkar S.K. Strength and elongation of cotton, polyester and polyester/cotton blends at different stages of manufacture. T.R.J. 44, 106-110(1974).
- [2] Hearle J.W.S., Grosberg P. and Stanley Becker. Structural Mechanics of fibres, yarns and fabrics. VI Wiley-interscience (1969).
- [3] Kemp A. and Owen J.D. The strength and behaviour of nylon/cotton blended yarns undergoing strain. J.T.I. 46, T 684 - T 698 (1955).
- [4] Monego C.J. and Beckers. Tensile rupture of blended yarns. T.R.J. 38, 762-766 (1968).
- [5] Onions W.J. et al. Studies of blending on the worsted system. Part 1. J.T.I. V 50, T 505 - T 580 (1959).
- [6] Onions W.J., Toshniwal R.J. and Towned P.P. The mixing of fibres

- in worsted yarn Part II: Fiber migration. J.T.I. 51, T 73 - T 79 (1960).
- [7] Owen J.D. The strength and stress-strain behaviour of blended yarns. J.T.I. 53, T 144 - T 167 (1962).
- [8] Ratnam T.V., Shankaranarayana K.S., Underwood C., and Govindarajulu K. Prediction of the Quality of blended yarns from that of the individual components T.R.J. 38, 360-365 (1968).
- [9] Waters W.T., Walker R.P. and Morton G.P. Comparative evaluation of different blend levels of cotton polyester staple in durable press sheeting, shirting and twill slacks fabrics. American's Textiles Reporter/Bulletin AT-1 11, 58-67 (1972).
- [10] Zurek W., Sobieray I., and Tazesowka T. Properties of blended yarns. T.R.J. 49, 438-444 (1979).
- [11] Bergeron J.D., Perkins J.R., Mullikin R.A. and Ross J.E. Spinning performance and Yarn properties of cotton-polyester blends. T.R.J. 48, 44-49 (1978).
- [12] Simpson J. and Fiori L.A. An analysis of carding efficiency and processing performance of cotton polyester blends T.R.J. 44, 813-822 (1974).
- [13] Simpson J. and Fiori L.A. Comparison of the carding and processing performance of 2.25 with 1.5 Denier cotton/polyester opening-room blends. T.R.J. 46, 284-290 (1976).
- [14] Tamas H. Improving the spinning behaviour of low grade cottons by adding small percentage of man-made fibres "New Spinning Processes" Denkendorf Institute of Textile Technology, May 1983.
- [15] Hare L.B. Designs for mixture experiments involving process variables. Technometrics 21, 159-173 (1979).
- [16] Lumenschloss J. and Gilheuss K.F. Properties of adhesively consolidated cotton/polyester blend yarns compared with ring and O.E. rotor yarns. I.T.B. 3/81 Spinning.
- [17] Vuchkov I.N., Yonchev H.A., Degaliev D.L., Tsochev V.K., Dikova T.D. Catalogue of sequentially generated designs. Sophia 1978.